



UNITED STATE PARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLIGATION BO. 92 FILING PATE 0 1 /99 CERAMI	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
The state of the s	A 10162-004-
HM12/1031 — LAURA A. CORUZZI PENNIE & EDMONDS 1155 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS	EXAMINER WEBMAN, E
NEW YORK NY 10036	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1617 DATE MAILED: 10/31/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

• •)	Application No. Applicant(s)
•	09/259929 CGRAMI
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit
	WEBMAN 1617
-The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SETOF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defa	FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	/ /
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	2/18/00
☐ This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance excapced accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1	ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
□ Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s) $20 - 47 = 5$	is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
1-19 4A-50,5	6-57
Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	requirement.
	requirement.
Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draver The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob	wing Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draver The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved disapproved. pjected to by the Examiner.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drave The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved disapproved. pjected to by the Examiner.
Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved disapproved. pjected to by the Examiner.
Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drag ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draver The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received.	wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draver The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies	wing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). to of the priority documents have been
Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draver of the proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been mber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draver The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob the drawing(s) filed on is/are ob the specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been mber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draver The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obtoo The drawing(s) filed on is/are obtoo The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number 1 is national stage application from the "Certified copies not received:	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been mber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drag The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved disapproved. ojected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have been mber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

Application/Control Number: 09/259,929 Page 2

Art Unit: 1617

Applicant's election with traverse of Group Im a method for modulating an immune response, and hydroxylated polyvinylacetate in Paper No. 11 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that culturing cells does not materially differ from modulating an immune response and there is no burden to search. This is not found persuasive because modulating an immune response entails implantation, a step which is irrelevant to cell culture. Burden has been shown by the entirely separate classes that need to be searched.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

A further election of species is required:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: a method for inducing or enhancing an immune response, a method of suppressing or down regulating an existing or potential immune response, a method of immunizing for the preparation of a hybridoma.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, methods of immunizing are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/259,929

Art Unit: 1617

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims

Page 3

to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election,

applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Webman whose telephone number is (703) 308-4432. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, M. Moezie, can be reached on (703) 308-0570. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3592.

Application/Control Number: 09/259,929

Page 4

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Webman:mv

October 2, 2001

EDWARDU. WEBMAN PRIMAR VEXAMINER GROUP 1500